



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

24
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,345	01/12/2001	Kenji Yamagami	36992.00068	2223
30256	7590	02/12/2004	EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P			KLINGER, SCOTT M	
600 HANSEN WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1043			2153	
DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/760,345	YAMAGAMI, KENJI
	Examiner Scott M. Klinger	Art Unit 2153

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2001.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u> .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-24 are pending.

Priority

No claim for foreign priority has been made. The effective filing date for subject matter in the application is 12 January 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-19, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Carter et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,553,401, hereinafter “Carter”). Carter discloses a system for implementing a high volume availability server cluster including both sharing volume of a mass storage on a local site and mirroring a shared volume on a remote site.

In referring to claims 1-4, and 10, Carter shows,

- A first host group with a first storage system:

Figure 1, element 112A, is a first host group; element 108A is a first storage system

- A second host group with a second storage system:

Figure 1, element 112B, is a second host group; element 108B is a second storage system

- The first host group configured to selectively send a heartbeat signal to a second host group:

"In an exemplary embodiment, the cluster manager determines whether a server 116A, 116B, ... 116Z of the current subcluster 112A, 112B, ... 112Z is available based upon heartbeat signals transmitted amongst the servers 116A, 116B, ... 116Z of the server cluster 106."

- U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 6, lines 34-39

In referring to claims 5-8, Carter shows,

- A remote host group and a remote storage system associated with the remote host group:

Figure 1, element 112A, is a remote host group; element 108A is a remote storage system

- The remote host group configured to selectively receive a heartbeat signal from a network coupled with the remote host group or by use of a remote link coupled to the remote storage system (*U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 6, lines 34-39, quoted above*)

In referring to claims 9 and 11, Carter discloses,

- A production host group; A standby host group coupled to the production host group by a network:

Figure 1 shows multiple host groups coupled by a network, elements 112A and 112B

- A remote mirror coupled between the production host group and the standby host group:

"Another step of the method includes mirroring the shared volume to a second mass storage device of a second subcluster that is located at a second site and that includes at least one server in order to obtain a first mirrored copy of the shared volume at the second site."

- U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 2, lines 22-27

- The remote mirror including a production site heartbeat storage volume (heartbeat PVOL) and a standby site heartbeat storage volume (heartbeat SVOL) coupled by a remote link to the heartbeat PVOL

Figure 1, Storage volumes 108A are connected to Storage volumes 108B are coupled through the network

- The production host group configured to selectively send a heartbeat signal to the standby host group by use of at least one of the network or the remote link (U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 6, lines 34-39, quoted above)

In referring to claim 12, Carter discloses,

- A first heartbeat check module configured to generate the heartbeat signal:
A module configured to generate a heartbeat signal is inherently implied in a system that sends said heartbeat signal

In referring to claim 13, Carter discloses,

- A second heartbeat check module configured to receive the heartbeat signal:
A module configured to receive a heartbeat signal is inherently implied in a system that receives said heartbeat signal

In referring to claim 14, Carter discloses,

- The standby host group manages operations of the cluster computing system if an invalid heartbeat signal is received by the standby host group from the production host group:

The standby host group taking over operations if the production host group fails is inherently implied in a fail over system that utilizes a standby host group

"Moreover, the computer readable medium includes instructions, which when executed, cause a cluster manager to determine to reallocate the service to a first server of the second subcluster, allocate the first mirrored copy to the first server of the second subcluster, and allocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster in response to determining to reallocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster."

- U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 3, lines 8-16

In referring to claim 15, Carter discloses,

- A serial number assigned to the heartbeat message; a time indicator indicating a time of the generation of the heartbeat message; an identifier identifying a sender of the heartbeat message:

Heartbeat messages (synonymous with "keepalive" packets) have an identifier (ip address), the time of generation, and a serial number by definition

In referring to claim 16, Carter discloses,

- A second remote mirror coupled between the production host group and the standby host group:

"The cluster manager is operable to allocate the service and the at least one volume of the first mass storage device to a first server of the first subcluster, and mirror the at least one volume of the first mass storage device to the at least one volume of the second mass storage device."

- U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 2, lines 49-53

Mirroring “at least” one volume inherently implies the mirroring of more than one volume is an embodiment of the invention.

- The second remote mirror including a second remote link for transmitting a heartbeat signal:

Figure 1, Storage volumes 108A are connected to Storage volumes 108B are coupled through the network

In referring to claim 17, Carter shows,

- Generating a heartbeat signal from a production host group; selectively sending the heartbeat signal to the standby host group from the production host group by use of at least one of a network and a remote link (U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 6, lines 34-39, *quoted above*)
- Enabling the standby host group to manage operations of the cluster computing system if an invalid heartbeat signal is received by the standby host group from the production host group:

“Moreover, the cluster manager is operable to determine to reallocate the service to a first server of the second subcluster, allocate the at least one volume of the second mass storage device to a first server of the second subcluster, and allocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster in response to determining to reallocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster.”

- U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 2, lines 53-60

In referring to claim 18, Carter shows,

- Selectively sending a heartbeat signal to the production host group from the standby host group by use of at least one of a network and a second remote link (*U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 6, lines 34-39, quoted above*)

In referring to claim 19, Carter shows,

- Registering a first storage volume to a device address entry, the first storage volume located in a production site, and, from the production site, changing a remote mirror that includes the first storage volume into an enabled mode; sending an activation message from the production site to a standby site; registering a second storage volume to the device address entry, the second storage volume located in the standby site; from the standby site, changing the remote mirror into an enabled mode to install a remote mirror formed by the first storage volume and second storage volume:

"Pursuant to another embodiment of the present invention, there is provided a server cluster for providing high availability of a service. The server cluster includes a first mass storage device located at a first site, a second mass storage device located at a second site, a first subcluster located at the first site, a second subcluster located at the second site, and a cluster manager. The first mass storage device includes at least one volume associated with the service. Similarly, the second mass storage device includes at least one volume associated with the service. The first subcluster includes a plurality of servers operably coupled to the first mass storage device. Moreover, the second subcluster includes at least one server operably coupled to the second mass storage device. The cluster manager is operable to allocate the service and the at least one volume of the first mass storage device to a first server of the first subcluster, and mirror the at least one volume of the first mass storage device to the at least one volume of the second mass storage device. Moreover, the cluster manager is operable to determine to reallocate the service to a first server of the second subcluster, allocate the at least one volume of the second mass storage device to a first server of the second subcluster, and allocate the service to the first server

of the second subcluster in response to determining to reallocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster."

- U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 2, lines 36-60

In referring to claim 21, Carter shows,

- Sending heartbeat messages to the production site host if said production host is enabled; sending heartbeat messages to the standby site host if said standby host is enabled:

"A server 116A, 116B, ... 116Z may be unavailable to provide the database service for many reasons such as a hardware failure of the server, a software failure of the server, a power failure of the site at which the server is located, and/or a network failure preventing clients 102A, 102B, ... 102Z access to the server. In an exemplary embodiment, the cluster manager determines whether a server 116A, 116B, ... 116Z of the current subcluster 112A, 112B, ... 112Z is available based upon heartbeat signals transmitted amongst the servers 116A, 116B, ... 116Z of the server cluster 106."

- U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 6, lines 29-39

In referring to claim 22, Carter shows,

- Checking for heartbeat messages from the production site host or the standby site host if the network is enabled, if an invalid heartbeat is received along the network and along the remote mirror, enabling the standby host to manage operations of the cluster computing system:

"Moreover, the cluster manager is operable to determine to reallocate the service to a first server of the second subcluster, allocate the at least one volume of the second mass storage device to a first server of the second subcluster, and allocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster in response to determining to reallocate the service to the first server of the second subcluster."

- *U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 2, lines 53-60*

(*U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 6, lines 29-39, quoted above*)

In referring to claim 23, Carter shows,

- Using a heartbeat check module to activate/de-activate a remote mirror and check for a heartbeat signal (*U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 6, lines 29-39, quoted above*, A heartbeat check module that determines if network services are available and enables/disables said services accordingly is inherently implied in a system in which subclusters provide fail over.)

In referring to claim 24, Carter shows,

- Selectively activating a network between a primary group and a secondary group; selectively activating a remote mirror between the primary group and the secondary group:

Figure 2 shows a flow chart of the operation of the cluster system

- Checking for a failure occurrence in the primary group; if the network is activated, then sending a failure notification message from the primary group to the secondary group along the network; if the remote mirror is activated, then sending a failure notification message from the primary group to the secondary group along the remote mirror; based upon the failure notification message, displaying in the secondary group an indication of the failure occurrence (*U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 6, lines 29-39, quoted above*)

"It should be further appreciated that the distributed nature of the cluster server 106 and the mirroring of data resources between sites protects a service from a complete site failure. For

Art Unit: 2153

example, if a database service is being provided by a first server 116A.sub.1 of a first subcluster 112A located in San Francisco, Calif. and a complete site failure occurs due to an earthquake in San Francisco, the cluster manager may reallocate the database service to a first server 116B.sub.1 of a second subcluster 112B located in Indianapolis, IN without an appreciable interruption of service. ”

- U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 8, lines 4-13

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter. Although Carter shows substantial features of the claimed invention including the method of checking for failure in a cluster computing system, Carter does not explicitly show de-installing a remote mirror. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Carter. Carter discloses:

“It should be appreciated by those skilled in the art that mirroring is a continuous process. Accordingly, even though the flowchart of FIG. 2 illustrates mirroring as a distinct step of the exemplary operation, the mirror copy of the data resources stored on the second shared storage device 108B at site B is continuously being updated in order to reflect the current state of the data resources as stored on the first shared storage device 108A at site A.”

- U.S. Patent No. 6,553,401, col. 6, lines 4-12

The mirrored copy of the data is continually updated, but Carter is silent as to how a failure

Art Unit: 2153

of the mirror is handled. However, Carter discloses that when the first subcluster fails or is unavailable, the resources are reallocated to the subcluster with the mirrored volume. Once the mirrored volume no longer exists (i.e. it becomes the primary volume), a new mirror is created. Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Carter so as to de-install the failed mirror, and create a new mirror, as shown by the operation of the primary subcluster.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Klinger whose telephone number is (703) 305-8285. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Scott M. Klinger
Examiner
Art Unit 2153

smk



ARIOTIENNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100